The interactions with thioxane $(SCH_2CH_2)_2O$, a sulfuroxygen base which shows no steric preferences, were observed and found to involve exclusively sulfur coordination. It appears, therefore, that I, II, and III are all "soft"¹⁵ in the sense of preference for sulfur coordination over oxygen. It also appears that the relative order of this preference in conjunction with the observed stabilities of aquo complexes and measured rates of base exchange is $I > II > III$, consistent with an order of electron donation by the equatorial chelate of $(dh)₂$ > tmed > tim.

Experimental Section

Materials. Complexes were prepared following established procedures or modifications of such procedures. All adducts unless characterized by nmr and elemental analysis. Analytical results for adducts used in nmr studies are given in the microfilm edition.

Solvents and Bases. All solvents were distilled and stored over freshly activated Linde **4A** molecular sieves. The observed boiling range of the nitrobenzene was **207-209"** and for methylene chloride **39.540.5'.** 3-Fluoropyridine was generously donated by the Chemical Division of the Olin Corp., Stanford, Conn. **06904,** and was used without further purification. 1-(2-Trifluoromethylphenyl)imidazole was prepared following a published procedure.¹⁶ Trimethyl phosphite was freshly distilled **(1 11-1 12').** All other bases were reagent grade and stored over molecular sieves.

Syntheses. Adducts of **methylatobis(dimethy1glyoximato)co**balt(II1) were prepared from the ligand-free dimer, which in turn was prepared by removal of water from methylaquocobaloxime by heating *in* **vacuo** at **80"** for several hours. The methylaquocobaloxime was prepared by previously described procedures.¹⁷ To prepare the adducts, base was added either to the dimer itself, and excess base evaporated off, or to a benzene solution of the dimer, followed by removal of the solvent to give crystalline solids. Details regarding syntheses of several tmed and (tim) complexes are given in the microfilm edition,18 as are the analytical results for all compounds studied.

(15) R. G. Pearson,J. *Amer. Chem.* **Soc.,** *85,* **3533 (1963). (16)** A. L. Johnson, J. C. Kauer, D. C. Sharma, and R. **I.** Dorfman, *J. Med. Chem.,* **12, 1024 (1969).**

(17) G. N. Schrauzer, *Inorg. Syn.*, 11, 61 (1968).

(1 8) See paragraph at end of paper regarding supplementary material.

Nmr spectra were obtained and line shape analyses performed as described elsewhere.^{4,19,20}

Registry No. CH₃Co(dh)₂NCCH₃, 25482-30-8 ; CH₃Co(dh)₂S-(CH,), **,25482-40-0;** CH,Co(dh),N(CH,) **3,25482-34-2;** CH,Co(dh),- P(C₆H₅)₃, 15977-37-4; CH₃Co(dh)₂(FPy), 50600-15-2; CH₃Co(dh)₂ P(OCH,), **,25586-92-9;** CH,Co(dh),ImCF,, **42012-06-6;** CH,Co(dh),- P(n-Bu),, **5 1020-41-8;** CH,Co(tmed)CH,OH+, **50600-16-3;** CH,Co- (tmed)NCCH **3+, 5 0600-1 7-4;** CH ,Co(tmed)S(CH **3)2+, 50600-1 8-5** ; CH ,Co(tmed)N(CH ,) ,+, **50600-19-6** ; CH ,Co(tmed)P(OCH **3)3f, 50600.20-9;** CH,Co(tmed)ImCF;, **50600-21-0;** CH,Co(tim)NCCH, '+, **47247-19-8;** CH3Co(tim)P(OCHg)g '+% **50600-22-1** ; CH,Co(tim)- ImCF,'+, **50790-61-9;** CH,Co(dh),, **36609-02-6;** CH,Co(dh),O- (CH,CH,),O, **50600-2t2;** CH,Co(dh),THF, **50600-24-3;** CH~CO- (dh),CH,OH, **50600-25-4;** CH,Co(dh),H,O, **25360-55-8;** CH~CO- (dh)₂DMSO, 25482-32-0; CH₃Co(dh)₂C₅H₅N, 23642-14-0; CH₃Co- (dh)₂S(CH₂CH₂)₂O, 25482-33-1; CH₃Co(dh)₂CNCH₃, 38141-49-0; CH,Co(dh),As(C,H,),, **29130-834;** CH,Co(tmed)+, **50599-98-9; CH,Co(tmed)O(CA,CH,)~O+, 50599-99-0;** CH,Co(tmed)THF', **50790-62-0;** CH,Co(tmed)H, O+, **26334-78-1** ; CH,Co(tmed)DMSO*, **50600-00-5;** CH,Co(tmed)(FPy)+, **50600-01-6;** CH,Co(tmed)S- (CH,CH,),O*, **50600-02-7;** CH,Co(tim)N(CH,),'+, **50600-03-8;** CH3Co(tim)O(CH,CH,),0z+, **50600-04-9;** CH,Co(tim)THF'+, **50600-05-0;** CH3Co(tim)CH,0H2+, **50600-06-1** ; CH3Co(tim)H,02+, **50600-07-2;** CH,Co(tim)DMSO'+, **50600-08-3;** CH,Co(tim)C,H,N2+, **50600-094;** CH ,Co(tim)(FPy) '+, **5 0600-1 0-7** ; CH ,Co(tim)S(CH ,), '+, 50600-11-8; $CH_3CO(tim)S(CH_2CH_2)_2O^{2+}$, 50600-12-9.

Supplementary Material Available. A table of analytical data and details regarding syntheses will appear following these pages in the microfilm edition of this volume of the journal. Photocopies of the supplementary material from this Paper only or microfiche **(105 X 148** mm, **24X** reduction, negatives) containing all of the supplementary material for the papers in this issue may be obtained from the Journals Department, American Chemical Society, **11 55** 16th St., N. **W.,** Washington, D.C. **20036.** Remit chek or money order for **\$3.00** for photocopy **or \$2.00** for microfiche, referring to code number **INORG-74-959.**

(19) K. **C.** Williams and T. L. Brown, *J. Amer. Chem.* **Soc., 88, 4134 (1966).**

(20) R. J. Guschl, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, **Ill., 1973.**

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York **14214**

Kinetics and Mechanism of Aquation and Formation Reactions of Carbonato Complexes. VI. Rhodium(III) and Iridium(III) **Carbonatopentaammine Complex Ions**

D. A. PALMER and *G.* **M.** HARRIS*

Received October 8, *1973*

Preparative procedures have been devised for the previously unreported complex salts $(Rh(NH_3),CO_3)ClO_4\cdot H_2O$ and [Ir-(NH) ,),CO ,]ClO,. Kinetic studies have been made of the acid-catalyzed decarboxylation of these compounds in aqueous solution. The kinetics of carbon dioxide uptake by the hydroxo ions $Rh(NH₃)₅OH²⁺$ and Ir(NH₃)₅OH²⁺ to form carbonato complex ions has also been investigated. At 25° and $I=0.5 M$, the aquation rate constants k_1 (see eq 1 below) are 1.13 and 1.45 sec⁻¹ for the Rh(III) and Ir(III) carbonato species, respectively, while the rate constants k_2 for CO₂ uptake by the corresponding hydroxo complexes are 470 and 590 M⁻¹ sec⁻¹, respectively. Temperature been determined and mechanistic conclusions drawn on the basis of comparisons with previously published data concerning the kinetics of various reactions of Co(III), Rh(III), and Ir(II1) complex ions.

V.

It has frequently been found that comparisons of the ki-

(1) (a) This research was reported in preliminary form at the Dasgupta, and G. M. Harris, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.,* **95, 4169 (1973).** Northeast Regional Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Buffalo, N. Y., Oct **11-13, 1971.** (b) Part **v: E.** Chaffee, T. P.

Introduction netics of reactions of complex ions which differ only in the identity of the central metal ion provide valuable insights into their properties. Such studies, have been made, for example, of various reactions of complex ions of the type $M(N\hat{H}_3)$ ₅ X^{n+} , where $M = Co(III)$, Rh(III), or Ir(III), and X is a ligand which differs from **NH3.** A classic comparison

of this type is provided as a result of some very early work² on the acidic hydrolysis (aquation) of the halopentaammine ions where $X = Cl$ or Br. Subsequent studies concern the formation, isomerization, and aquation of the nitrosopentaammine series^{3,4} and the aquation of the nitro,⁴ acetato, fluoroacetato,⁵ and isocyanatopentaammine⁶ complexes. Basic hydrolysis of the fluoroacetato series⁷ and solvent water exchange with the aquopentaammine series⁸ have also been examined. Two general conclusions as to the kinetics of such reactions may be drawn from this body of work: When metal-ligand bond making or bond fission is not directly involved in the process, there is little variation in the rate constants in proceeding along the series Co(III), Rh(III), and Ir(II1) complexes. However, when the metal-ligand bond is made or broken, the rate constants for the reactions of the complexes fall in the order $Co(III) \ge Rh(III) >> Ir(III)$.

In view of the proposed mechanism for the aquation-formation equilibration of the $Co(NH_3)_5CO_3^+$ ion,^{1,9} one would expect the corresponding process for the Rh(II1) and Ir(II1) congeners to be governed by rate constants of a magnitude very similar to those of the Co(II1) system. The present study was undertaken to test this assumption. Procedures have been devised to prepare the previously unsynthesized compounds $\text{[Rh(NH_3),CO_3]ClO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\cdot\text{O}_3$ and [Ir(NH_3),CO_3] . $ClO₄$, and the results of the rate studies confirm the proposed mechanism of uptake and release of carbon dioxide in systems of the stoichiometry $M(NH_3)5OH^{2+} + CO_2 \neq$ $M(NH_3)_{5}CO_3^+ + H^+$.

Experimental Section

Materials. All chemicals used were of reagent grade. $\mathrm{[Rh(NH_3),OH_2] (ClO_4)_3}$ was prepared by the method of Cunningham, House, and Powell¹⁰ and $[\text{Ir(NH}_3), \text{OH}_2]$ (ClO₄)₃ by the method

of Borghi and Monacelli.⁸
Carbonatopentaamminerhodium(III) Perchlorate. Solid Li₂CO₃
was added to a stirred solution containing 1 g of $[Rh(NH₃), QH₂]$. $(CIO₄)₃$ in 20 ml of water until a pH of 8.5 was attained. After stirring for 10 min the solution was evaporated to dryness at room temperature using a rotatory evaporator. The solid residue was washed thoroughly with ethanol. The complex was recrystallized twice from a minimum volume of water saturated with $CO₂$ and then precipitated with ethanol, The pale yellow crystals were washed with ethanol and ether and vacuum-dried at 40°. *Anal.*¹¹ Calcd for $\{Rh(NH_3), CO_3\}$ -ClO₄ H_2O : C, 3.28; H, 4.69; N, 19.15; Cl, 9.70. Found: C, 3.27; H, 4.74; N, 18.95; C1,9.73.

Carbonatopentaammineiridium(II1) Perchlorate. This compound was prepared by the same method described for the preparation of was prepared by the same method described for the preparation of $[Rh(NH_3), CO_3]ClO_4$: C , 2.75 ; H, 3.46; N, 16.03; Cl, 8.12. Found: C, 2.68; H, 3.31; N, 15.74; C1,7.96.

Determination **of** Acid Dissociation Constants. The pK values for the acid dissociation of both $Rh(NH_3)$, OH_2 ³⁺ and $Ir(NH_3)$, OH_2 ³⁺ were determined at 25" by titration with 0.02 *M* NaOH, with com-

(2) D. R. Stranks, "Modern Coordination Chemistry," J. Lewis and R, G. Wilkins, Ed., Interscience, New York, N. Y., **1960,** Chapter

2, Table **IX,** p **128,** and references quoted therein. **(3)** F. Basolo and G. S. Hammaker, *Inorg. Chem.,* **1, 1 (1962). (4)** (a) B. E. Crossland and P. **J.** Staples, *J. Chem. SOC. A,* **2853**

(1971); (b) D. **L.** Klimek, B. Grossman, and *A.* Haim, *Inorg. Chem.,*

11, 2382 (1972). (5) F. Monacelli, F. Basolo, and R. G. Pearson, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.,* **24, 1241 (1962).**

(6) (a) R. **J.** Balahura and R. B. Jordan,Znorg. *Chem.,* 9, **1567 (1970);** (b) P. C. Ford, *ibid.,* 10, **2153 (1971).**

(7) (a) R. 8. Jordan and H. Taube,J. *Amer. Chem. Soc.,* **88,4406 (1966);** (b) F. Monacelli,J. *Inorg. Nucl. Chem.,* **29, 1079 (1967).**

(8) (a) E. Borghi and F. Moncelli, *Inorg. Chim. Acta, 5,* **2 1 1 (1971);** (b) T. W. Swaddle and D. R. Stranks,J. *Amer. Chem. Soc.,*

94, 8357 (1972). (9) T. P. Dasgupta and G. M. Harris, *J. Amer. Chem.* **Soc., 90,**

6360 (1968). *J. Chem.,* **23, 2375 (1970). (10) A. J.** Cunningham, D. **A.** House, and H. K. J. Powell, *Aust.*

Knoxville, Tenn. **37921. (1 1)** Microanalyses performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc.,

Table I. Spectral Data for Various Rh(II1) and **Ir(II1)** Complex Ions

Complex ion	n_{\max} nm	ϵ_{\max} M^{-1} cm^{-1}	^min, nm	e_{\min} \bar{M}^{-1} cm^{-1}	
$Rh(NH_3)$, CO ₃ ⁺	325	178	294	118	
$Rh(NH_3)$, OH^{2+}	319	132	292	109	
$Rh(NH_3)$ ₅ OH_2 ³⁺	314	112	283	76	
$Ir(NH_3)_{5}CO_3^+$	262	125	247	109	
$\ln(M_{3})$, OH ²⁺	238	298	270 sh	97	
$Ir(NH_3)$, OH ₂ ³⁺	258	87	242	75	

plex concentrations of about 6×10^{-3} *M* and with both reactants at an ionic strength of $0.5 M$. The pK 's for $Rh(NH₃), OH₃³⁺$ and Ir- $(NH₃)$, OH, ³⁺ were found to be 6.78 \pm 0.03 and 6.70 \pm 0.03 respectively. They are independent of the nature of the anion *(i.e.,* chloride, nitrate, or perchlorate) used *to* make **up** the ionic strength. Previous reports indicate the pK of the aquorhodium complex¹² to be 6.39 at 25" and an ionic strength of 0.2 *M,* while that for Ir- $(NH₃)₅OH₂³⁺$ is quoted as 6.5 at virtually zero ionic strength.⁸

solving 0.0914 g of the carbonato complex $(2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol})$ in 50 ml of 2.5×10^{-3} M HClO₄ or HNO₃ (1.25 \times 10⁻⁴ mol), thermostating at 25° and then following the resulting pH change over a period of *ca.* 25 sec on a high-speed recorder. Backextrapolation of pH to zero time gave the same result in both acids, *i.e.*, $pK =$ 6.9 ± 0.1 . No attempt was made to fix the ionic strength in these determinations, which are at best only approximate. The pK of $Rh(NH₃)$, CO, $H²⁺$ was determined by rapidly dis-

Spectra. Infrared spectra were measured on a Beckman IR5A spectrometer using KBr disks. Both the rhodium and iridium carbonato complexes exhibit CO stretches at 1455 ± 5 and 1370 ± 5 cm⁻¹, which are characteristic of unidentate coordinated carbonate.¹³

Absorption spectra in the uv-visible range were measured using a Cary 15 spectrophotometer. The spectra of the carbonato complexes were measured in a sodium bicarbonate solution at pH 8.5 to inhibit hydrolysis. The observed maximum and minimum values of the molar absorption coefficients of the various complexes at the appropriate wavelengths are given in Table I.

bonato species were investigated at 25" and at an ionic strength of 0.5 *M* (NaNO,) with complex concentrations generally of the order of $2.5 \times 10^{-3} M$. Reactions of the rhodium and iridium complexes were monitored at the wavelengths of 340 and 260 nm, respectively. The majority of the results were obtained using a Durrum stopped-
flow spectrophotometer in the manner previously described.^{1,9} Howflow spectrophotometer in the manner previously described.^{1,} ever, for pH >9 a Cary 15 spectrophotometer was generally used, since the reactions became quite slow *in* this range. Rate Measurements. The kinetics of the aquation of the car-

For pH values less than 7 a McIlvaine phosphate-citric acid buffer¹⁴ was used, while at higher pH a number of different buffer systems¹⁵ were used: boric acid-borax-NaOH, Tris base-Tris maleate, Tris base-Tris hydrochloride, bicarbonate-carbonate. All pH measurements were made with a Beckman Research Model pH meter.

micromolar amounts) NaCl was substituted for NaNO, as the inert electrolyte because nitrate ion has an inhibiting effect on the enzyme's activity.¹⁶ For runs in which carbonic anhydrase was added (usually in 2-5

The rate of CO₂ uptake by $Rh(NH_3)_5OH^{2+}$ was determined by means of the previously described techniques.' The *"equilibration method"* involves mixing equivolumes of a 0.1 M NaHCO, solution containing carbonic anhydrase and a solution of the aquo complex and the desired buffer. In the "acidification method," a weighed and the desired buffer. In the *"acidification method*," a weighed amount of NaHCO₃ is "titrated" with 1 *M* HCl to pH \simeq 3 and the reaction is initiated by mixing the resulting CO₂ solution with a solution containing aquo complex and a relatively concentrated buffer.

Results and Discussion

The overall carboxylation-decarboxylation process for

(12) A. J. Poe, K. Shaw, and M. J. Wendt, *Inorg. Chim. Acta,* **1, 371 (1967).**

(1 **3) K.** Nakamoto, "Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds," 2nd ed, Wiley, New York, N. Y., **1970,** pp **169- 171.**

28, 1179 (1956). (14) P. **J.** Elving, **J.** M. Markovitz, and *J.* Rosenthal, *Anal. Chem.,*

(15) R. M. C. Dawson, **W.** H. Elliott, and K. M. Jones, **Ed.,**

"Data for Biochemical Research," Oxford University Press, London,
1959, pp 205, 207. (Note that "Tris" = tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane.)

(16) S. Lindskog,J. *Biol. Chem.,* **238, 945 (1963).**

the pentaamminecarbonatocobalt(II1) species is well described by the mechanism previously proposed,^{1b} which can be restated in the more general form

 $M(NH_3)$, $OH_2^{3+}CO_3^{2-}M(NH_3)$, CO_3^{+}

For present purposes, **M** is taken to represent Rh(II1) and Ir- (111) as well as Co(II1). The **K's** are the acid dissociation constants of the various species identified, and k_1 and k_2 are the rate constants for $CO₂$ elimination and uptake, respectively. In buffered solutions containing excess carbonate, the observed rate constant corresponding to the above mechanism is given by $(h = hydrogen$ ion concentration, $b =$ total carbonate concentration)

$$
k_{\text{obsd}} = k_1 \left(\frac{h}{h + K_{\text{C}}} \right) +
$$

$$
k_2 b \left(\frac{K_{\text{A}}}{h + K_{\text{A}}} \right) \left(\frac{h^2}{h^2 + K_1 h + K_1 K_2} \right)
$$
 (2)

In the absence of added carbonate $(b = 0)$, only decarboxylation of the pentaamminecarbonato complexes is observable up to pH 9, and the observed pseudo-first-order rate constant is described by the first term on the right of eq 2.

squares procedure, using the linear reciprocal form of the appropriate rate law, *viz.* An accurate analysis of the data was achieved by least-

$$
1/k_{\rm obsd} = 1/k_1 + K_{\rm C}/k_1 h \tag{3}
$$

The data obtained for the hydrolysis of the $Rh(NH_3)_{5}$ - CO_3 ⁺ and Ir(NH₃)₅CO₃⁺ species at 25° is presented in this reciprocal form in Figure 1. These plots yield the values of k_1 and K_C recorded in Table II, the error limits of each being indicated in the table. A series of runs at pH *G3* (where $k_{\text{obsd}} = k_1$) over a range of temperatures enabled estimation of the activation parameters for k_1 , which also appear in Table 11, together with values of their standard deviations. The corresponding data for the previously studied cobalt(III) analog 9,17 are also included for comparison purposes.

It is abundantly clear from the data presented in Figure 1 and Table I1 that the three acid-catalyzed decarboxylation reactions under considration are all equally well described by the same mechanism, one which involves no metal-oxygen bond scission. In this respect, the reactions are analogous to the hydrolyses of the corresponding nitrosopentaammine complexes,⁴ where the rate constants for the three complexes are within a factor of **4** of one another. In direct contrast, however, the release of nitrite from the nitritopentaammine complexes exhibits a large decrease in rate constant⁴ in going from Rh to Ir, in keeping with the concept of metal-oxygen bond breakage in the rate-determining step in these reactions.

Figure 1. Double-reciprpcal plot of **variation** of **observed rates** of **aquation** of **rhodium(II1) and iridium(II1) carbonato complexes with hydrogen ion concentration at 25° and** $I = 0.5 M$ **: 0,** $Rh(NH_3)$ **,-CO,+;** *0,* **Ir(NH,),CO,+.**

Table II. Rate Parameters for Decarboxylation of $M(NH₃)₅CO₃⁺$ at 25[°] and $I = 0.5 M$

ne oraensal son con Truck Par 10^7K_C ; M k_1 , sec ⁻¹ M_{\odot} .	ΔH , \pm . kcal/mol	ΔS , \pm , cal/ deg mol	
Co(III) $1.10 \pm 0.05 = 2.0 \pm 0.1$ 1.13 ± 0.06 Rh(III) 1.1 ± 0.1 Ir(III) 1.45 ± 0.07 1.6 ± 0.1	16.8 ± 0.2 17.0 ± 0.5 19.0 ± 0.5	-2 ± 1 -1 ± 1 6 ± 1	

It is noted that the rate constants of aquation for both the carbonatopentaammine and nitrosopentaammineiridium(III) complexes are slightly larger than for the corresponding rhodium(I1I) congeners. ' This may be an indirect effect of the expected strengthening of the metal-oxygen bond in changing from rhodium(II1) to iridium(III), as evidenced by the much slower release of ligand bound to $Ir(III)$ as compared t6 Rh(1II) when metal-oxygen bond cleavage is involved.⁸ It is well known¹⁸ that the symmetrical COO infrared'stretching frequency of upidentate carbonato ligand decreases as the strength of the metal-oxygen bond increases, indicating a weakening of the acyl oxygen-carbon bond and thereby facilitating the dissociation of the $CO₂$ fragment from the carbonato ligand.

Some runs were made at 25° and pH 3.12 (where k_{obsd} = **k,)** to determine the effects of ionic strength variation and the nature of added salt on this rate parameter for the Rh- (111) complex. The results were as given below.

All the values of k_1 are seen to be identical within experimental error, as is to be expected, since this constant describes the unimolecular decomposition of the fully protonated intermediate $M(NH_3)_5CO_3H^{2+}$. It may well be, however, that this independence of ionic strength would not be observed at higher pH 's where K_C is an important factor in the rate expression (spe eq *2),* since this latter constant describes an equilibrium between charged species. However, this possibility has hot been put to test at this time.

the semilogarithmic absorbance *vs.* times plots were no longer During the present study, it was noted that at pH *>6.5,*

(18) Reference 13, pp 222-223,233.

⁽¹⁷⁾ At pH 3 and $I = 0.5 M$ (NaNO₃), k_1 has values of 0.42, 0.65, and 1.10 sec⁻¹ at 15, 20, and 25[°], respectively: K. Hyde and D. A.
Palmer, unpublished work. The quoted temperature parameters...
were obtained by **and** 37' **on this reaction (see ref 9).**

linear over an extended time interval, an effect which became more and more pronounced with increasing pH. This apparent anomaly can be explained in terms of the building up of the concentration of carbon dioxide produced during the hydrolysis to greater than the very small value it should have if completely in equilibrium with HCO_3^- and CO_3^2 . This buildup is possible because the rates of hydration of $CO₂$ by reaction with OH^- and H_2O become rate limiting at high pH.19 As a consequence, the reverse of hydrolysis, the reaction of $CO₂$ with $M(NH₃)₅OH²⁺$, becomes significant and a pseudoequilibrium is reached which slowly decays to the true thermodynamic equilibrium at a rate controlled by the rate of $CO₂$ hydration. This interpretation of the nonlinearity of the rate plots was tested by making runs in the presence of micromolar quantities of carbonic anhydrase, which catalyzes $CO₂$ hydration dramatically.²⁰ Under these circumstances, normal linear plots are obtained as is illustrated in Figure 2, where a comparison is presented of data obtained for $Co(NH_3)_5CO_3$ ⁺ hydrolysis in the presence and absence of enzyme. Additional runs conducted at pH *<6* in the presence of enzyme demonstrated that it does not itself catalyze the hydrolysis of the carbonato complex ion. It therefore now seems reasonable to conclude that part of the discrepancy between the hydrolysis rate constants derived from the data on the hydrolytic equilibration of $Co(NH_3)_{5}$. CO_3 ⁺ at pH 8.5-9.4 obtained by Francis and Jordan²¹ and the lower pH data from this laboratory' can be ascribed to the fact that the former workers did not utilize the enzyme so that their measured rates were determined at least to some extent by the rate of $CO₂$ hydration.

At pH 11, base hydrolysis of the carbonato complexes becomes the only observable process, and some runs were made at 25° and $I = 1.0 M$ using the Rh(III) species and Ir(III) species in order to permit a comparison with similar experiments previously reported for the $Co(III)$ analog.²¹ The value of the second-order rate constant, for the Ir(II1) complex k_{OH} , is negligible under these conditions, indicating an upper limit of about $10^{-7} M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. For the Rh(III) and Co(III) cogeners, k_{OH} has values of 2.4 \times 10⁻⁶ and 2.3 \times 10^{-3} \dot{M}^{-1} sec⁻¹, respectively. The difference in k_{OH} values between the Rh(II1) and Co(II1) complexes is of the same order of magnitude as has been observed for complexes of the type $M(\text{NH})_5X^{2+}$, where X = Cl, Br, or I.²² However, the corresponding factor for the fluoroacetatopentaammine complexes, which, like the carbonato species, involve metaloxygen bonding to the reactive ligand, is only about 20 in favor of the Co(II1) compound.'

Recently, a study has been completed of the kinetics of uptake of carbon dioxide by the aquopentaamminecobalt- (III) complex ion over a broad pH range.^{1b} Similar experiments have now been performed at 25° with the rhodium-(111) complex within the pH range 7.8-10.2, yielding the data shown in Table 111. For most of the runs, the conventional "equilibration method" starting with aquopentaammine salt was employed (see Experimental Section), while for several runs (as indicated in the table), the starting material was the carbonato complex $(i.e.,$ the equilibration was

Figure 2. Hydrolysis of Co(NH₃), CO₃⁺ at pH 8.35, 25[°], and $I =$ 0.5 *M.* A_t and A_s are the relative absorbances at time *t* and at "infinite" time, respectively: C, without enzyme; *0,* with enzyme.

Table III. Rate of Uptake of CO_2 by $Rh(NH_3)_5OH^{2+}$ at 25° Using the Equilibration Method ([Total Carbonate] = 0.05 *M;* $I = 0.5$ *M*; Tris Buffer)

Run no.	pН	k_{obsd} , sec ⁻¹		$10^{-2}k_2$, M^{-1} sec ⁻¹
1	7.06	2.1_3		5.8
$\overline{2}$	7.11	1.7 ₀		4.6
3	7.35	1.26		5.1
4	7.50	0.852		4.4
5^a	7.71	0.575		4.4
6 ^a	7.87	0.43q		4.9
7 ^b	8.09	0.302		7.3
8^a	8.10	0.262		4.7
9	8.13	0.19 ₄		3.3
10	8.16	0.22a		4.5
11 ^c	8.23	0.137		2.7
12 ^a	8.37	0.13q		4.5
13 ^a	8.56	0.093		4.8
14 ^a	8.67	0.069		4.5
15	8.99	0.036		5.3
16 ^b	9.09	0.050		6.0
17 ^b	9.18	0.020		3.8
18^b	9.91	0.0046		7.7
			Mean	4.9 ± 1.2

^a Starting material was $Rh(NH_3)$, CO₃⁺. ^b Carbonate self-buf-No enzyme added. k_{obsd} fering only. [Total carbonate] was 0.50,0.096,0.06, and 0.08 *M* in runs 7,16, 17, and 18, respectively. estimated from initial slope of plot (see text).

carried out in the direction of carbon dioxide release). In both types of experiment, k_{obsd} is determined by the complete expression already given (eq *2),* by means of which the values of k_2 can be calculated by use of the appropriate known constants.²³ Run 7 again illustrates the modest accelerating influence of high concentration of added carbonate, previously observed in the Co(III) study,^{1b} and run 11 gives a low value for k_2 as to be expected in a situation where the CO_2 -HCO₃⁻-CO₃²⁻ equilibrium is not rapidly maintained due to absence of enzyme. Otherwise the rate

⁽¹⁹⁾ R. E. Forster, J. T. Edsall, A. B. Otis, and **F. J.** W. Roughton, Ed., " CO_2 : Chemical, Biochemical, and Physiological Aspects," National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D. C. **1969,** pp **20-21.** Washington, D. C.) (Obtainable from U. S. Government Printing Office,

⁽²⁰⁾ Reference **19, p 101** ff.

⁽²¹⁾ D. **J.** Francis and R. B. Jordan,J. *Amer. Chem. SOC.,* **89, 5591 (1967).**

⁽²²⁾ C. H. Langford and W. R. Muir, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89,* **3141 (1967).**

⁽²³⁾ For these calculations we employed the following known
values of the constants: $k_1 = 1.13$ sec⁻¹ (Table II), $K_C = 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$
(Table II), $K_A = 1.7 \times 10^{-7}$ (see Experimental Section), $K_1 = 8.7 \times 10^{-7}$ (ref 1b),

Table IV. Rate of Uptake of CO, by Rh(NH₃), OH²⁺ at 25° Using the Acidification Method ($[CO_2] = 0.01 M; I = 0.5 M;$ Tris Buffer)

Run no.	pH	k_{obsd} , sec ⁻¹ 10 ⁻² k_2 , M ⁻¹ sec ⁻¹			
	6.76	1.29		2.7	
2^a	7.19	4.5 ₀		3.1	
3 ^a	7.29	4.86		3,2	
4	7.40	2.9 ₂		3.6	
5	7.67	3.51		3.9	
6	7.72	2.93		3.3	
76	7.99	3.87		2.8	
8	8.03	3.54		3.7	
9	8.67	3.9 ₀		4.0	
			Mean	3.4 ± 0.5	

 a **Initial** $[CO_2] = 0.02 M$. *b* **Saturated CO₂ solution used as one** reactant. Concentration $(\sim 0.015 M)$ estimated from gas solubility **data.**

data are quite consistent, and one derives a mean value for the rate constant for uptake of carbon dioxide by hydroxopentaamminerhodium(III) complex ion of k_2 = $(4.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^2 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ at 25° and $I = 0.5 M$. It is noted (Table III) that even at pH \sim 10, where the CO₂ concentration is down to a very low and somewhat indefinite value,²⁴ the figures deduced for k_2 are quite consistent with those obtained at higher acidities. This suggests that possible direct reactions of $HCO₃⁻$ or $CO₃²⁻$ with the aquopentaammine complex are negligibly slow as compared to $CO₂$ uptake. This conclusion is further supported by an additional experiment in which $Rh(NH_3)_{5}OH^{2+}$ was treated with 0.5 \overline{M} Na₂CO₃ (pH ~12) where only a slight initial change in absorbance was noted,²⁵ with no evidence of further reaction over a period of 1 **hr** at **25'.**

means of the "acidification method" (see Experimental Section) was carried out at *25',* with the results given in Table IV. The values of k_2 obtained in this manner (average $k_2 = (3.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^2 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ are somewhat lower than those recorded in Table I11 but not significantly so. Since the reactant in these later experiments is pure $CO₂$, rather than CO_2 in equilibrium with HCO_3^- and CO_3^2 , the slightly different rate constant may be the result of an ion-pairing effect in solutions containing appreciable concentrations of ionic carbonate, as suggested above in connection with the "equilibration method" data. **A** similar small discrepancy was noted in the earlier study utilizing $Co(NH₃)₅OH²⁺$ as reactant (see Tables I and 11, ref Ib). An alternative explanation is that the discrepancy may derive from small losses of $CO₂$ by escape of a small fraction of the gas from the reactant solution prior to making the run, a difficulty which it was found almost impossible to control. A series of nine runs with the aquorhodium complex by

(24) The data on K , at $I = 0.5$ *M* is not well established (see ref **lb), thus preventing accurate estimation of the** CO, **concentration at high pH (see eq 2).**

(25) Possibly this is an indication of ion-pair formation between the doubly charged aquo complex cation and CO_3^2 ⁻ anion.

Table V. Variation of **Rate of CO, Uptake by Rh(NH,),0H2+ with Temperature**

Temp, °C	рH.	$\frac{10^{-2}k_{\text{obsd}}a}{\text{sec}^{-1}}$	$10^{-2}k_2$ ^b M^{-1} sec ⁻¹
15.1	8.46	7.82	1.57
19.9	8.33	10.7	2.72
25.0	V arious c		4.90 ^c
29.9	8.08	33.8	7.91
34.9	7.96	62.7	10.7

 a Average of three determinations (\pm 5% error). b Computed by **procedure used for Table III, utilizing values of** K_A **,** K_C **,** K_1 **, and K, approximately adjusted for the temperature variation (see foot**note 20 in $ref 1b$. \dot{c} See data given in Table III.

Activation parameters were estimated for *COz* uptake by $Rh(NH₃)₅OH²⁺$ on the basis of the limited temperature dependence study recorded in Table V. The corresponding parameters are $\Delta H^+ = 17 \pm 1$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S^+ = 12 \pm 3$ cal deg⁻¹ mol⁻¹, as compared to 15.3 ± 0.9 and 3.6 ± 3.0 , respectively, for the $Co(III)$ congener.^{1b} The near-equivalence of these constants is good evidence for the previously assumed identity of mechanism for these reactions.

complex ion Ir(NH₃)₅OH²⁺ by the equilibration method at **25'** and *I* = 0.5 M. For pH's of 7.34,8.08, and 8.68 and total carbonate concentrations of 0.0535,0.0498, and 0.0536 M , respectively, the k_{obsd} values were 1.53, 0.318, and 0.084 sec⁻¹, respectively. The corresponding mean value of the derived rate constant²⁶ is $k_2 = 5.9 \times 10^2 M^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. This is seen to be a little larger than the value for the Rh(II1) complex (Table IV) and nearly 3 times that for the Co(II1) species^{1b} $(2.2 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1})$. This minor trend in rate constants again reflects the order of the metal-oxygen bond strength in the complexes (Ir $>$ Rh $>$ Co) and may be the result of secondary influences such as a decreasing basicity of the coordinated oxygen of the M-O-H group in parallel with the increasing M-O bond strength. A few runs were made to determine the value of $k₂$ for the

Scientific Affairs Division of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Brussels, for partial support of this research program. Some preliminary studies by Dr. Raymond Ho of the synthesis of the $Rh(NH_3)_5CO_3^+$ complex ion also contributed to the success of this endeavor. **Acknowledgment.** The authors are grateful to the

 $(CIO_4)_3$, 15611-81-1; $[Ir(NH_3)_5CO_3]ClO_4$, 50600-92-5; $Ir(NH_3)_5$ -**50600-89-0; Rh(NH,),OH'+, 26214-91-5; Ir(NH,),CO,+, 50600-91-4;** $\text{Ir(NH}_3)$ _s OH^{2+} , 44439-82-9; Co(NH₃)_sCO₃⁺, 15844-68-5; Rh(NH₃)_s-**Registry No. [Rh(NH,),CO ,)ClO,, 50600-90-3;** [**Rh(NH,), OH,]** - OH₂³⁺, 29589-08-0; Rh(NH₃)₅OH₂³⁺, 15337-79-8; Rh(NH₃)₅CO₃⁺, **CO,HZ+, 50600-93-6.**

(26) **Using eq 2 and the appropriate values of the other constants, as already explained for the Rh(II1) analog.23**